

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/633,871 | 08/04/2003 | Ronald F. Renzi | 33413/US 5267 | |
| 7590 06/26/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| Edward W. Bulchis DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP Suite 3400 1420 Fifth Avenue Seattle, WA 98101 | | | HYUN, PAUL SANG HWA | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1743 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/26/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| | 10/633,871 | RENZI ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Paul S. Hyun | 1743 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period way reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI | I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 M</u> . 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-4,7,9-30 and 32-60 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-4,7,9-30 and 32-60 are subject to respect to the specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and 32-60 are subject to respect to the specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and 32-60 are subject to by the Examine 10). | wn from consideration. estriction and/or election requirem | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-4, 7, 9-21 and 57 and 58 drawn to a modular apparatus for detecting an analyte, classified in class 422, subclass 68.1.
- II. Claims 22-25, drawn to a method for resetting a reservoir in a portable device for target detection, classified in class 436, subclass 174.
- III. Claims 26-30, 32-40, drawn to a method for detecting an analyte by means of separation, classified in class 436, subclass 177.
- IV. Claims 41-56, 59 and 60, drawn to a modular device for detecting an analyte by means of elution spectrum, classified in class 422, subclass 68.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions (I and IV) and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatuses of groups I and IV do not require the service of two removable reservoirs. A detection of an analyte can be accomplished without removing the reservoir and replacing it with a second reservoir.

Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be

practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus of group I does not require the separation of the sample into components. It appears that the detection of an analyte can be accomplished by analyzing the entire sample.

Inventions I and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). Although both groups of claims are directed toward an apparatus for detecting an analyte, the two apparatuses have different designs, modes of operation and effects. In addition to having different designs, the apparatus of group IV comprises an electrical/optical detection module that analyzes the elution spectrum of the sample whereas the apparatus of group I comprises an electrical detection module.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the two groups of claims are drawn to two completely different methods. They comprise different steps and consequently the methods have different effects.

Inventions III and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §

806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus of group IV comprises a means for producing and analyzing the elution spectrum of the separated components. However, the method does not require the analysis of an elution spectrum. The detection of an analyte in the method can be accomplished by an apparatus that comprises an electrochemical detection module.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

- 34. A method for determining the presence of an analyte in a sample by detecting the fluorescence of the sample.
- 35. A method for determining the presence of an analyte in a sample by detecting the electrochemical properties of the sample.

The species are independent or distinct because the means by which the analyte is detected involves different steps and effects.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 26 is generic.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Application/Control Number: 10/633,871

Art Unit: 1743

33. A method for separating an analyte in a sample by means of electrophoresis, chromatography, isotachophoresis, electrochromatography, or isoelectric focusing.

39. A method for separating an analyte in a sample by applying voltage or current to the sample.

The species are independent or distinct because the means by which the sample is separated involves different steps and effects.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 26 is generic.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

- 42. A device for analyzing a sample wherein the device analyzes a fluorescence signal.
- 43. A device for analyzing a sample wherein the device analyzes an electrochemical signal.

The species are independent or distinct because the means by which the sample is detected comprises different structure and equipment.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 41 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

Application/Control Number: 10/633,871

Art Unit: 1743

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

A telephone call was made to Edward Bulchis on June 13, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul S. Hyun whose telephone number is (571)-272-8559. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on (571)-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,871

Art Unit: 1743

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

06/16/06

Juwarder Jill Warder Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700